

Factors Affecting Impulse Buying of Beauty Products



Spandita Nandi

Alliance Manchester Business School
(spandita.nandi@gmail.com)

DOI: 10.26573/2021.15.1.5

Volume 15, Number 1

January 2021, pp. 59-71

Impulse buying of beauty products has become a common phenomenon in the post-millennium Indian retail market, where consumers are empowered and global brands are easily accessible. This paper takes a deep-dive into impulse purchases made specifically in the beauty sector. To assess the factors affecting this phenomenon, primary data has been collected from men and women, having age 18-55. Statistical tests such as Principal Component Analysis, Multiple Analysis of Variance and linear regression were used to assess the factors having a significant impact on the indicators of impulse purchases. The studies showed that demographic factors do not have any significant impact on impulse buying. Income is the only factor that negatively affects 2 out of the 5 indicators of impulse buying (dependent variables) namely: Mood-driven purchases and High-end miniature purchases.

Keywords: Impulse Buying, MANOVA, PCA, Linear Regression, Beauty Products, Demographic Factors, Income

1. Introduction

For this study, the meaning of consumer behaviour should be observed. It can be defined as the processes involved when individuals select, purchase and use products or services to fulfil their needs and desires (Vainikka 2015). Consumer behaviour includes gaining information of the product, evaluating the products and its alternatives or competition etc. (Jamal and Lodhi, 2015). This information is available to the consumer through various sources such as word-of-mouth (family, friends etc), commercial sources (advertising, retailers, packaging), and public sources (newspapers, magazines, radio, television, internet). These days, marketers have a greater control over the information available to the consumers and its manner of presentation (Kivetz and Simonson, 2000). Gaining all the information about a particular product helps the consumer to decide whether this product will fulfil his/her needs. This process of gathering knowledge is one of the most universal and significant features of consumer behaviour. Hence it is of great interest to researchers and marketing professionals. Researchers, who study consumer behaviour, do so in order to understand the needs, preferences and actions of consumers throughout the decision-making process of purchasing. (Gure 2012).

Marketers need this information so that they can try and increase the willingness of the consumers to buy their product (Hervé and Mullet, 2009). For companies, such

understanding can enable them to cater to consumers' needs and more easily promote the company's products and services, leading to success of their marketing campaign (Bennett, 2010).

Much like other management sciences, consumer behaviour is an amalgamation of multiple fields. Psychology, social psychology and sociology are the three branches of study, which are widely used to understand the process of consumer behaviour in depth (Marsden and Littler, 1998). This can be understood better when we study the factors influencing consumer behaviour. These factors can be broadly grouped into:

1. Personal: This includes demographic information of the consumer e.g. age, sex, location, occupation, personality etc. (Horská and Sparke, 2007). Amongst all these factors, economic status of buyer is a major determinant of buying behaviour (Myers, Stanton and Haug, 1971). In fact, these demographic factors are so important that it is recommended that the market segmentation of clothing market in Borno state, Nigeria be done on the basis of these factors for better targeting (Lawan and Zanna, 2013).
2. Psychological: This group of factors includes motivation, perception, skills and knowledge, positions, personality, and lifestyle which play a role in consumer behaviour (Brown, 2006). Some other internal factors influencing consumer behaviour are learning, memory, emotions, and attitudes. (Hawkins and Mothersbaugh, 2010).
3. Situational: This group of factors can play an important role in influencing consumer behaviour. Some situational factors are social environment, physical environment of the purchase place, and time influences. (Berkowitz et al. 1992; Vysekalová 2004; Nagyová 2001a).
4. Geography: There are marked differences observed in the general purchase habits of consumers in different countries. In Belgium, France and Holland consumers were found to be highly selective. However, in the UK and Brazil, consumers were more likely to walk down every aisle of the shop. (Liljenwall, 2004).

Impulse buying is one of the most notable components of consumer behaviour. *"Impulse buying is a purchase that is unplanned, the result of an exposure to a stimulus, and decided on-the-spot. After the purchase, the customer experiences emotional and/or cognitive reactions"* (Piron, 1991). It is this lack of prior planning that stokes the curiosity of researchers regarding the factors that cause impulse buying, which is one of the major factors influencing a consumer's purchase decision. It is crucial for marketers as well to be aware of the same in order to strategize accordingly (Rook, 1987). There are several studies in literature supporting the need for marketers to study impulse buying behaviour. Finding the variable factors (that affect the buyer's impulsive decision to purchase a product that was not planned for), is important for the retailers to ascertain, to be able to position their goods accordingly. Only then can they aim to survive in a highly competitive market (Kim, 2003). Apart from the factors listed above, sometimes the consumers' impulsive decisions are based on past experiences and external sources which may be marketing efforts or non-commercial sources (Schiffman and Kanuk, 2010). Additionally, multiple social and psychological factors have been studied to establish their relation with impulse buying. The major factors that can be considered as causes of impulse buying are low price, marginal need for item, self-service, prominent store display, small size or light weight and lastly ease of storage (Stern,

1962). Lack of self-control, level of reaction and absorption to stress are the personality traits that impact a consumer's tendency to buy on impulse (Youn and Faber, 2000). It can be understood as a consumer trying to console herself to alleviate the negative psychological conditions being experienced (Silvera, Lavack and Kropp, 2008). Likewise, positive emotions are also associated with impulse buying such as joy, delight, and enthusiasm (Beatty and Ferrell 1998).

With rapid advancements in technology and subsequent changes in retailing and marketing techniques, impulse buying has asserted its power (Gardner and Rook 1988). The potential of consumers to buy impulsively can be further increased by the presence of certain factors such as online shopping, teleshopping channels and 24-hour convenience stores, which can provide round-the-clock accessibility and convenience to the consumers for making a purchase (Kacen and Lee, 2002). Further studies have backed up these conclusions. Markets where high amount of impulse buying takes place, attract more retailers who then change their strategies accordingly to induce customers to buy more products (Dholakia, 2000). About 80% of purchases in certain product categories in U.S. are made impulsively (Abrahams, 1997).

The Indian market for consumer goods has witnessed rapid evolution in the decades following independence in 1947. Due to rapid advancements in technology coupled with the drastic economic liberalisation in 1991, the average Indian middle-class consumer is now more empowered than ever.

The Indian cosmetic industry, in particular, has undergone rapid changes particularly in the past two decades. The drastic transformation from traditional mom-and-pop stores to brand outlets in malls has enabled consumers to actually see all the products on display, try it out for themselves and then make the purchase. In case of store brands: service, layout, and merchandise in the store serve as heuristics for the consumer to make inferences about the quality of products while making the decision to purchase products (Richardson et al., 1994). It has become a common practice for retailers to offer different kinds of product ranges such as premium store brands and standard store brands, with different levels of quality and different levels of perceptions (Kumar and Steenkamp, 2007).

Added to this, more and more women in the metro cities are employed and had greater income at their disposal, making these outlets a big hit. Soon the beauty industry entered the ecommerce platform. Nykaa and Purplle were one of the first entrants into this market. They had a wide selection of cosmetics and personal care products that exceeded the stock of any physical store. Equipped with highly professional logistical services, these websites ensured the availability of the brands that present in brand outlets of the major metros to every Indian woman living in smaller towns. This drastically expanded the consumer base for all cosmetic brands, so much so, that many newer international brands have today entered the Indian market by using these websites as their exclusive launch platforms. For example, brands like Laneige and Huda Beauty have forayed into the Indian market using Nykaa as their exclusive platform.

As we are poised at the edge of a new decade, buying personal care products to boost one's confidence and self-esteem has become the new norm. Gone are the days when beauty products signified mere vanity. With a change in outlook and increased access to international brands, the beauty industry is set for a major boom in the next decade.

This paper aims to study the impulsive beauty purchases made by the modern Indian population, and attempts to find out whether the decision to buy beauty products on an impulse depends upon their income or other demographic factors (such as age, location and occupation) and the nature of such relation (positively or negatively related).

2. Methodology

In order to conduct this research, an original questionnaire was prepared on Google Forms and distributed to respondents through email, messaging apps like WhatsApp. It was also shared on social media platforms like Facebook and Reddit. A total of 235 respondents completed the questionnaire. These responses were coded in MS Excel (as explained below in data specification) and the data in numerical code form was entered into SPSS for further testing.

In the analysis stage, the scale reliability was checked in the very beginning, since the questionnaire had a large number of questions with a self-made Likert scale. After this, Principal Component Analysis (with Varimax rotation) was used to determine the five major dependent variables (i.e., indicators of impulse buying). These dependent variables are: mood-driven purchases, promotion-driven purchases, planned purchases, high-end miniature purchases, price-driven purchases. The following hypotheses were set for the purpose of this study:

H₀₁: Age has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H_{A1}: Age has a significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H₀₂: Location has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H_{A2}: Location has a significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H₀₃: Occupation has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H_{A3}: Occupation has a significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H₀₄: Income has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

H_{A4}: Income has a significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying

With the help of a MANOVA test, the dependent variables (mood-driven purchases, promotion-driven purchases, planned purchases, high-end miniature purchases, price-driven purchases) were evaluated against the 4 independent variables (namely age, location, occupation and income), to ascertain which predictor made a statistically significant impact on the outcome. Before conducting the MANOVA test, the data was checked for all preconditions and some post-hoc tests such as Tukey's test was conducted to assess the independent variable having a statistically significant impact on which dependent variable.

3. Data Specification

A total of 17 questions were asked in the questionnaire to get their demographic details and ascertain their impulse buying habits. Questions 1 (name) was fill in the blank type, and question 2 to 17 were "choose an option" type. These questions were aimed at gathering demographic particulars of every respondent which formed the basis of the independent variables in this study.

3.1 Independent variable 1: Age

Respondents were asked to enter their age into any one of the following categories:

1. 16-25
2. 26-35
3. 36-45
4. 46-55

These were later coded as 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the purpose of analysis.

3.2 Independent variable 2: Location

Respondents were asked to choose the correct option among the following based on the place of their residence

1. Small town in India
2. Metro city in India
3. Metro city outside India

These were later coded as 1, 2, 3 for the purpose of analysis.

3.3 Independent variable 3: Occupation

Respondents were asked to choose one of the following:

1. Student
2. Employed
3. Self-employed
4. Unemployed

These were later coded as 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the purpose of analysis.

3.4 Independent variable 4: Income (in INR)

Respondents were asked to choose any one of the following categories based on their monthly income.

1. Below 10000
2. 10001-25000
3. 25001-50000
4. Above 50000

These were later coded as 1, 2, 3 and 4 for the purpose of analysis.

The next set of questions were aimed at getting insights into the respondent's purchase patterns and asked them to pick an appropriate option from the provided scales. All were self-designed.

The Likert scale responses were coded accordingly.

4. Results and Discussions

Since a large number of questions in this questionnaire depended on a self-made Likert scale, it was important to begin by testing their reliability with regard to testing the underlying construct, that is, impulse buying. For this purpose, Cronbach's Alpha was calculated for all the questions seeking to evaluate the consumer's impulse buying patterns. The results for Cronbach's Alpha are as follows:

Table 1 Reliability Statistics of Self-made Likert Scale

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	No of Items
0.728	0.714	14

Since the Cronbach’s Alpha score is good (above 0.7), the scales have proved to be reliable and the study was carried on with the 14 constructs. The next step was to condense these 14 constructs into a fewer number of dependent variables for the purpose of ease in calculations. For this purpose, a Principal Component analysis was carried out with a Varimax rotation. The results of the PCA are given as follows:

Table 2 KMO and Bartlett’s Test Results (Step 1 of PCA)

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy	.721
Sig.	.000

The KMO square indicates that our sample size is sufficient, and these results are statistically significant (sig.000).

Based on an Eigenvalue cut-off of 1, five factors out of the 14 were identified as the best reflectors of our underlying construct, impulse buying.

Table 3 Total Variance Explained (Step 2 of PCA)

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	3.45	24.643	24.643	3.45	24.643	24.643	2.446	17.469	17.469
2	1.518	10.846	35.489	1.518	10.846	35.489	1.761	12.581	30.051
3	1.312	9.369	44.857	1.312	9.369	44.857	1.541	11.007	41.057
4	1.088	7.772	52.629	1.088	7.772	52.629	1.512	10.802	51.86
5	1.044	7.46	60.089	1.044	7.46	60.089	1.152	8.229	60.089
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis									

It is essential to name these five factors for the purpose of future reference in calculations. For this purpose, the Rotated Component Matrix Table was referred.

Table 4 Rotated Component Matrix (Step 3 of PCA)

Rotated Component Matrix^a					
	Component				
	1	2	3	4	5
I buy products impulsively when I am very happy.	0.71				
I buy products impulsively when I am very sad/stressed.	0.69				
Buying beauty products instantly makes me feel good about myself.	0.6				
I spend most of my beauty budget on impulsive, affordable products.	0.52				0.46
I have made impulse purchases because the product was on sale or discount.	0.47	0.44			0.36
I immediately order online if the product you see on social media is not locally available.		0.86			
I often exceed my budget on impulsive beauty buys	0.53	0.57			
Owning the same products as social media stars, movie stars, singers and other celebrities makes me happy.	0.34	0.52			
All my beauty purchases are planned in advance			0.82		
I plan for quite some time before buying the product.			0.75		
I spend most of my beauty budget on planned, high-end products.		0.38	0.44		
Have you purchased miniatures of high-end beauty products?				0.85	
Buying Miniatures/low priced items from a high-end brand makes me happy.	0.35			0.73	
I feel guilty after making impulsive beauty purchases from drugstore and high-end brands.					0.84
Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.					
Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization. ^a					
a. Rotation converged in 6 iterations.					

Based on the clusters of component scores and their corresponding constructs, the 5 dependent variables have been named as follows:

- 1: Mood-driven purchases
- 2: Promotion-driven purchases
- 3: Planned purchases
- 4: High-end miniature purchases
- 5: Price-driven purchases

To assess the validity and extent of relationship between these 5 dependent variables and our 4 independent variables (Age, Location, Occupation, Income in INR), a Multiple Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) test was conducted. This test

was repeated 4 times to assess the relationship between each of the independent variables and the 5 dependent variables. The major assumptions of MANOVA were tested in the beginning.

Table 5 Mahal's Distance

Residuals Statistics ^a					
	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Mahal. Distance	0.456	15.954	4.98	3.023	233
a. Dependent Variable: AGE					

The maximum Mahal's distance is within the critical value acceptable for 5 factors, thus signifying that our data has no significant outliers. This satisfies the first assumption of MANOVA.

The second assumption is that there is multivariate normality. To test for this, we will use the Shapiro-Wilk's test. Statistically insignificant values (Sig. greater than 0.05) will prove the null hypothesis for this test, i.e., multivariate normality exists.

Table 6 Shapiro-Wilk's test

	Shapiro-Wilk			
	AGE	tic	df	Sig.
High-end miniature purchases	1	0.97	155	0
	2	0.95	66	0.01
	3	0.93	7	0.53
	4	0.91	4	0.46

From the above Tables, we can observe that one dependent variable: high-end miniature purchases, violates the assumption of normality in age category 1 and 2. Due to this violation, we will be using Pillai's Trace instead of Wilk's Lambda to evaluate MANOVA results.

We are moving on to MANOVA results for each independent variable.

Table 7 Pillai's Trace

Effect		Sig.
AGE	Pillai's Trace	0.25

As is evident from the Table, Pillai's Trace is statistically insignificant, leading us to accept

H₀₁: Age has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying.

Table 8 Pillai's Trace

Effect		Sig.
LOCATION	Pillai's Trace	0.120

As is evident from the Table, Pillai’s Trace is statistically insignificant, leading us to accept

H02: Location has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying.

Table 9 Pillai’s Trace

Effect		Sig.
OCCUPATION	Pillai's Trace	0.170

As is evident from the Table, Pillai’s Trace is statistically insignificant, leading us to accept

H03: Occupation has no significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying.

Table 10 Pillai’s Trace

Effect		Sig.
INCOME (in INR)	Pillai's Trace	0.006

As is evident from the Table, Pillai’s Trace is statistically significant, leading us to reject **H04** and accept

HA4: Income has a significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying.

Now that we know that changes in income level create statistically significant changes on the indicators of impulse buying, we will be taking a deeper look at some post-hoc tests ascertain exactly which dependent variable is being impacted. The first one is the **Test of Between-Subjects Effects**, given as follows:

Table 11 Test of Between-Subjects Effects

Source	Dependent Variable	F	Sig.
INCOME (in INR)	Mood-driven purchases	3.437	0.018
	Promotion-driven purchases	0.915	0.435
	Planned purchases	0.273	0.845
	High-end miniature purchases	6.198	0.000
	Price-driven purchases	0.210	0.889

As is evident from the Table above, the impact of Income on Mood-driven purchases and High-end miniature purchases are statistically significant (sig. values 0.018 and 0.000 respectively). So, we will look into Tukey’s test in the Multiple Comparisons Table to gauge exactly which category of income is causing this impact on each of the dependent variable.

Table 12 Multiple Comparisons Table

Dependent Variable	(I) INCOME (in INR)	(J) INCOME (in INR)	Sig.
Mood-driven purchases	1	2	0.756
		3	0.018
		4	0.167
High-end miniature purchases	1	2	0.886
		3	0.006
		4	0.043

Tukey’s HSD (Honestly Significant Difference) Table breaks down the analysis to one more level and shows that there is a statistically significant difference between income category 1 and 3 (0.018) as far as mood-driven purchases are concerned. For high-end miniature purchases, there is a statistically significant difference between income category 1 and 3 (0.006), and 1 and 4 (0.043). To determine the extent of relationship between income and mood-driven purchases; and income and high-end miniature purchases, a linear regression was performed, the results of which are as follows:

Regression analysis for Mood-driven purchases

Table 13 Suitability of Data

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	8.680	1	8.680	8.978	.003 ^b
a. Dependent Variable: Mood-driven purchases						
b. Predictors: (Constant), INCOME (in INR)						

This Table tells us how well the data fits the regression equation we are about to put forward. In this case, a sig. value of 0.003 shows statistical significance, meaning that the data is indeed a good fit for the regression equation.

Table 14 Degree of Variation in mood-driven Purchases with respect to Income

Coefficients ^a				
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Sig.
		B	Std. Error	
1	(Constant)	0.353	0.134	0.009
	INCOME (in INR)	-0.168	0.056	0.003
a. Dependent Variable: Mood-driven purchases				

This Table gives us the extent to which mood-driven purchases vary with a change in income. Both the values of the constant and the income coefficient are statistically

significant, and the equation is given as *Mood-driven purchases*= 0.353-0.168 (*income*).

Regression analysis for High-end miniature purchases

Table 15 Suitability of Data

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	8.231	1	8.231	8.497	.004 ^b
a. Dependent Variable: High-end miniature purchases						
b. Predictors: (Constant), INCOME (in INR)						

This Table tells us how well the data fits the regression equation we are about to put forward. In this case, a sig. value of 0.004 shows statistical significance, meaning that the data is indeed a good fit for the regression equation.

Table 16 Degree of Variation in high-end Miniature Purchases with respect to Income

Coefficients ^a				
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Sig.
		B	Std. Error	
1	(Constant)	0.344	0.134	0.011
	INCOME (in INR)	-0.164	0.056	0.004
a. Dependent Variable: High-end miniature purchases				

Both the values of the constant and the income coefficient are statistically significant, and the equation is given as *High-end miniature purchases*= 0.344-0.164 (*income*)

5. Conclusion

From the tests that were performed, it can be safely concluded that demographic factors do not create particularly significant impact on the indicators of impulse buying in the beauty sector. This is proved by accepting the null hypothesis in case of 3 out of 4 independent variables (Table 7), (Table 8), (Table 9).

Even in case of income (the only independent variable that impacts impulse buying), (Table 10); a significant impact was noted in case of only 2 out of the 5 indicators of impulse buying (Table 11). The negative relation that income shares with these two dependent variables (*Mood-driven purchases* and *High-end miniature purchases*) is also quite small as shown by the constant coefficients (Table 14), (Table 16).

A major limitation of this study is lack of diversity among the respondents. Majority of the respondents belong to metro cities, and lie between income category 1 and 3, that is a monthly below INR 50000. There is a possibility that the results

have been skewed in a certain direction due to such clusters in the population sample.

There is further scope to deepen this research by gathering a larger sample size, which is more diverse in terms of location and income. Since income has surfaced as the only independent variable to create a statistically significant impact on the dependent variables, the impact of a larger sample size (with more respondents from the higher income group) on the test results remains to be seen.

6. References

1. Abrahams, B. (1997). It's all in the mind. *Marketing*, 27(1), 31-33.
2. Beatty, S. E., & Ferrell, M. E. (1998). Impulse buying: Modeling its precursors. *Journal of retailing*, 74(2), 169-191.
3. BENNETT, ANTHRY G., Big Book of Marketing, Lessons and Best Practices From The World's Greatest Companies, MC Graw Hill, 112p. ISBN: 978-0-07-162615-6.
4. Berkowitz E.N., Kerin R.A., Hartley S.W., Rudelius W. (1992): Marketing. 3th edition, Irwin, Boston; ISBN 0-256-09182-X.
5. Brown, A. (2006): Chapter 6 Class Notes. Available at <http://www.udel.edu/alex/chapt6.html>
6. Dholakia, U. M. (2000). Temptation and resistance: an integrated model of consumption impulse formation and enactment. *Psychology & Marketing*, 17(11), 955-982.
7. Gardner, M. P., & Rook, D. W. (1988). Effects of impulse purchases on consumers' affective states. *ACR North American Advances*.
8. Güre, İ. (2012). *Understanding consumers' impulse buying behavior* (Doctoral dissertation), 13-14
9. Hawkins, D & Mothersbaugh, D 2010. Consumer Behaviour. Building Marketing Strategy. Eleventh Edition. McGraw Hill Irwin. 275-275.
10. Hervé, C., & Mullet, E. (2009). Age and factors influencing consumer behaviour, *International Journal of Consumer Studies*. 302.
11. Horská E., Sparke K. (2007): Marketing attitudes towards the functional food and implications for market segmentation. *Agricultural Economics – Czech*, 53 (7): 349–353.
12. Jamal, M., & Lodhi, S. (2015). *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, Volume 5, Issue 12, December 2015
13. Kacen, J.J., & Lee, J. A. (2002). The Influence of Culture on Consumer Impulsive Buying Behavior. *JOURNAL OF CONSUMER PSYCHOLOGY*, 12(2), 163–176.
14. Kim, J. (2003). *College students' apparel impulse buying behaviors in relation to visual merchandising* (Doctoral dissertation, uga). 1-11
15. Kivetz, R., Simonson, I. (2000). The effects of incomplete information on consumer choice. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 37 (4), 427-448.
16. Kumar, N. and Steenkamp, J.-B.E.M. (2007), *Private Label Strategy: How to Meet the Store Brand Challenge*, 1st ed., Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA.
17. Lawan, A.L and Zanna, R. , Evaluation of Socio-Cultural Factors Influencing Consumer Buying Behaviour of Clothes in Borno State,

- Nigeria, *International Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, Vol 01, No. 03, Jan 2013, pp. 519-529, 528.
18. Liljenwall, R. 2004. *Global Trends in the Point-of-Purchase Advertising*. Chapter 10. Washington DC: Point of Purchasing International.
 19. Marsden, D., & Littler, D. (1998). Positioning alternative perspectives of consumer behaviour. *Journal of marketing management*, 14(1-3), 3-28.
 20. Myers, J. H. Stanton, R. R. and Haug, A. F. (1971). Correlates of Buying Behavior: Social Class vs. Income, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 35, No 4; pp. 8–16.
 21. Nagyová L. (2001a): Factor influencing the customer decision process when purchasing pasta. SPU, Nitra, pp. 242–245; ISBN 80-7137-847X.
 22. Piron, F. (1991). Defining impulse purchasing. *ACR North American Advances*.
 23. Richardson, P.S., Dick, A.S. and Jain, A.K. (1994), “Extrinsic and intrinsic cue effects on perceptions of store brand quality”, *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 58 No. 4, pp. 28-36.
 24. Rook, D. W. (1987). The buying impulse. *Journal of consumer research*, 14(2), 189-199.
 25. Schiffman, L. G., & Kanuk, L. L. (2010). *Consumer Behaviour*.
 26. Silvera, D. H., Lavack, A. M., & Kropp, F. (2008). Impulse buying: the role of affect, social influence, and subjective wellbeing. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 25(1), 23-33.
 27. Stern, H. (1962). The significance of impulse buying today. *Journal of marketing*, 26(2), 59-62.
 28. Vainikka, B. (2015). Bachelor's Thesis, Centria University Of Applied Sciences. 1.
 29. Vysekalová J. (2004): Consumers psychology: How 2007edicniplan.nsf/kapitola/10n1-07-2007-0900)
 30. Youn, S., & Faber, R. J. (2000). Impulse buying: its relation to personality traits and cues. *ACR North American Advances*.

About Our Author

Spandita Nandi holds a Bachelor's degree with Honors in Commerce from University of Delhi; and is currently enrolled in Alliance Manchester Business School for Masters of Science in Marketing (Class of 2021). She has worked as a research associate in Kantar Millward Brown and has been a part of campaign testing for brands such as Kelloggs', Emami, Zydus and Piramal. This paper was presented in NASMEI Summer Marketing & Information Systems Conference 2019 (IIM Indore); and Seventeenth AIMS International Conference on Management 2020, (IIM Kozhikode).